A Review of Molecular and Genetic Diagnostics of Myeloid Malignancies with Emphasis on Diagnostics in Bosnia and Herzegovina
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Abstract
Here we describe the major genetic and genomic aberrations found in myeloid malignancies and how those markers are used in patients’ diagnosis, prognosis, and targeted treatment. In Bosnia and Herzegovina, cytogenetic and molecular diagnostics for myeloid malignancies have been established and continually improved since 2005. We report the current state of available diagnostic tools for myeloid malignancies in Bosnia and Herzegovina. Myeloid malignancies are a heterogeneous group of clonal blood diseases characterized by defects in hematopoietic stem cells and myeloid progenitors that lead to abnormal proliferation, differentiation, localization, and self-renewal. Most common myeloid malignancies include myeloproliferative neoplasms (MPNs), myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS), and acute myeloid leukemia (AML). Molecular diagnostics of myeloid malignancies have significantly expanded in the last decade with new genetic and genomic markers for diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment. Conclusion. In the last decade, several new genomic markers important for patient diagnosis, prognosis, and therapy have been discovered that need to be implemented in routine molecular diagnostics not only in developed nations but also in developing nations such as Bosnia and Herzegovina.
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Introduction and Classification
Myeloid malignancies are a heterogeneous group of clonal diseases where hematopoietic stem or progenitor cells (HSPCs) are disrupted concerning their self-renewal, proliferation, and differentiation capacity as a result of genetic and epigenetic changes (1, 2). The World Health Organization (WHO) classification of myeloid neoplasms was last updated in 2016 (3). According to this classification, myeloid neoplasms include myeloproliferative neoplasms (MPN), mastocytosis, myeloid/lymphoid neoplasms with eosinophilia and gene rearrangement, myelodysplastic/myeloproliferative neoplasms (MDS/MPN), myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS), myeloid neoplasms with germline predisposition, acute myeloid leukemia and related neoplasms (AML), blastic plasmacytoid dendritic cell neoplasm, and acute leukemias of ambiguous lineage (3).

In this review, molecular and genetic diagnostics of more common myeloid malignancies such as MPN, MDS, and AML will be covered.
**Myeloproliferative Neoplasms (MPNs)**

MPNs are acquired blood malignancies that arise from clonal hematopoiesis through the overproduction of either one or more types of myeloid cell lines. MPNs are classified based on the presence of BCR-ABL1 gene fusion. Chronic myelogenous leukemia (CML) is therefore classified as a Philadelphia-positive MPN, while polycythemia vera (PV), essential thrombocythemia (ET), and primary myelofibrosis (PMF) are classified as Philadelphia-negative MPNs (4-6).

**Philadelphia-Negative MPNs: Polycythemia Vera (PV)**

PV is characterized by erythrocytosis, which is often accompanied by leukocytosis and thrombocytosis. The 2016 revision of WHO classification defines three major and one minor criterion for the PV diagnosis. Major criteria are: (1) In men, hemoglobin level >16.5 g/dL or hematocrit >49%; in women, hemoglobin level >16.0 g/dL or hematocrit level >48%, or increased red cell mass; (2) Hypercellular bone marrow with panmyelosis; (3) Detection of JAK2V617F mutation or JAK2 mutation within exon 12. The minor criterion is subnormal erythropoietin level in the patients’ serum. PV diagnosis requires confirmation of all three major criteria, or the first two major criteria + minor criterion (3). Treatment includes phlebotomy, hydroxyurea, and interferon-α (4-6).

**Philadelphia-Negative MPNs: Essential Thrombocythemia (ET)**

ET is characterized by megakaryocytic hyperplasia and thrombocytosis, which elevated granulocyte levels and splenomegaly may accompany. According to the last revision of the WHO classification, four major and one minor criterion are determined for ET diagnosis. Major criteria are (1) Thrombocyte count ≥450x10^9/L; (2) Prominent proliferation of the megakaryocyte lineage, with mature, enlarged megakaryocytes; (3) Not meeting criteria for PV, PMF, MDS, BCR-ABL1+ CML, or other myeloid neoplasms; (4) Detection of the JAK2, CALR, or MPL mutations. Minor criterion implies the absence of the reactive thrombocytosis and presence of a clonal marker. ET diagnosis requires meeting four major criteria, or three major criteria and minor criterion (3). Treatment involves salicylic acid and hydroxyurea (4-6).

**Philadelphia-Negative MPNs: Primary Myelofibrosis (PMF)**

The main characteristics of PMF include abnormal megakaryocytosis, aberrant blood count, elevated myeloid cell proliferation, fibroblast proliferation, as well as the release of reticulin and collagen which leads to bone marrow fibrosis, extramedullary hematopoiesis, and splenomegaly (4-6). Three major criteria for PMF diagnosis are: (1) Megakaryocytic proliferation and atypia, granulocytic proliferation, decreased erythropoiesis, and absence of reticulin fibrosis > 1st grade; (2) Not meeting criteria for PV, ET, MDS, BCR-ABL1+ CML, or other myeloid neoplasms; (3) Detection of the JAK2, CALR, or MPL mutations, or presence of another clonal marker. Minor criteria are (1) Palpable splenomegaly; (2) High activity of LDH; (3) Leukocytosis; (4) Anemia. PMF diagnosis can be confirmed if all three major criteria and one minor criterion are met (3).

**Philadelphia-Positive MPN: Chronic Myelogenous Leukemia (CML)**

CML is a Philadelphia chromosome-positive (Ph+) myeloproliferative disease. The main cause of CML is a reciprocal translocation between chromosomes 9 and 22 in the bone marrow stem cells, which leads to the formation of the BCR-ABL1 fusion gene. BCR-ABL1 protein conditionally activates several key signal transduction pathways responsible for the proliferation, survival, and dissemination of the malignant myeloid clone (7-13). Bone marrow biopsy at diagnosis is necessary for: a) determination of blast cell percentage, b) assessment of basophils proportion, c) the presence of BCR-ABL1 translocation by cytogenetic analysis.
(karyotyping or FISH) or polymerase chain reaction (PCR) (14). The detection of BCR-ABL1 clone at diagnosis and every three months after the initiation of treatment is required for each CML patient. Disease monitoring consists of measuring BCR-ABL1 transcripts by real-time quantitative PCR. Hasford and EUTOS scores were used to predict patients’ survival and response to the TKI therapy; however, the new EUTOS Long Term Survival (ELTS) score is more adequate to predict the probability of leukemia-related deaths since the vast majority of CML patients die from the other causes than leukemia (15). A revolutionary advancement in the CML treatment was achieved with a tyrosine kinase inhibitor, such as imatinib mesylate, a drug that specifically blocks the enzymatic activity of the BCR-ABL1 fusion protein (16).

**Myelodysplastic Syndrome (MDS)**

MDS is a clonal disorder of hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) characterized by aberrations in both morphology and maturation of cell precursors of one or several lineages and cytopenia with a tendency of progression to acute myeloid leukemia (17, 18). This is a heterogeneous group of malignancies with aberrant proliferation, differentiation, and maturation of HSCs, all of which are evident as morphological changes in myeloid cells. Clinical manifestation includes bone marrow failure, while pathological manifestation includes dysplastic morphology in one or several blood cell lineages in the bone marrow or the peripheral blood. The majority of patients suffer from cytopenia, anemia and require blood transfusions. Less common symptoms include neutropenia and/or thrombocytopenia (17).

**Acute Myeloid Leukemia (AML)**

AML is characterized by the clonal proliferation of abnormal, immature myeloid cells. The term acute refers to a disease that develops faster and leads to fatal outcomes in as little as a few months if left untreated (19). It arises due to genetic changes in the DNA of myeloid precursors. It is characterized by an obstruction in differentiation and a continuous division of immature myeloid cells, more precisely leukemic blasts, which impair normal hematopoiesis (20). AML primarily forms in the bone marrow, but in most cases, blasts are also found within the peripheral blood. More precisely, AML is defined as a disease characterized by over 20% of blasts in the bone marrow or peripheral blood. This may also spread to other parts of the body, such as lymph nodes, spleen, liver, central nervous system, or testicles (21).

**Molecular Markers**

**Genetic Markers of AML**

Genetic markers, both molecular and cytogenetic, have their diagnostic, prognostic, and therapeutic role. As a part of a diagnostic workup, each AML patient requires both cytogenetic and molecular testing. Regarding molecular markers, studies have identified an overwhelming number of mutations in AML genomes. On average, one AML genome shows five mutations. Nine gene categories relevant for AML pathogenesis have been identified, namely gene fusions, NPM1 mutations, tumor-suppressor genes, DNA methylation genes, signaling genes, chromatin-modifying genes, myeloid transcription factors, cohesin complex genes, and spliceosomal members (Table 1). The most commonly mutated genes in AML are FLT3 (32% of all cases), NPM1 (25%), and DNMT3A (20%), with the possibility that they are concomitant in the same sample.

FLT3 (Fms-like tyrosine kinase 3) is a gene that encodes a class III receptor tyrosine kinase present in both humans and mice (Figure 1). When a ligand binds to FLT3, it gets dimerized and auto-phosphorylated, leading to the activation of PI3K/AKT and RAS/MAPK pathways (22). Increased expression levels have been seen in 70% to 100% of AML cases; thus, it was hypothesized that FLT3 expression plays a role in the survival and proliferation of leukemic blasts (23). The most commonly seen mutation in the FLT3 gene in AML is internal tandem duplication (24), while the second most
common one is FLT3 activation loop point mutation. Internal tandem duplications (ITD) in FLT3 account for 24%, while the activation loop mutations are seen in 7% of AML patients. Therefore, 30% of AML patients have acquired mutations in the FLT3 gene, making it the most mutated gene in AML (25). Mutations in the FLT3 gene result in cellular proliferation, resistance to apoptosis, and DNA defective repair (26). The identification of FLT3 mutations is essential because of the availability of FLT3 inhibitors, which are part of the standard treatment of AML.

CEBPA (CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein α) encodes transcriptional factor with leucine zipper

### Table 1. AML Risk Stratification and Genomic Classification. Risk Stratification Is Based on Molecular and Cytogenetic Abnormalities and Is Used for Therapeutical Strategies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AML risk stratification</th>
<th>Molecular markers</th>
<th>Cytogenetics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Favorable</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPM1 mutated</td>
<td>t(8;21)(q22;q22.1); RUNX1-RUNX1T1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLT3 wild type</td>
<td>t(15;17)(q24;q21); PML-RARA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEBPA biallelic mutation</td>
<td>inv(16) or t(16;16); CBFB-MYH11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intermediate</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPM1 mutated &amp; FLT3-ITD mutated</td>
<td>t(9;11)(p21.3;q23.3); MLLT3-KMT2A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPM1 wild type &amp; FLT3 wild type</td>
<td>t(6;9)(p23;q34.1); DEK-NUP214</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cytogenetic abnormalities not classified as favorable or adverse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adverse</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPM1 wild type &amp; FLT3-ITD mutated</td>
<td>t(v;11q23.3); KMT2A (MLL) rearrangement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUNX1 mutated</td>
<td>t(9;22)(q34.1;q11.2); BCR-ABL1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASXL1 mutated</td>
<td>inv(3)(q21.3;q26.2) or t(3;3)(q21.3;q26.2); GATA2,MECOM(EVI1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPS3 mutated</td>
<td>−5 or del(5q); −7; −17/del(17p)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Complex karyotype, monosomy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### AML Genomic Classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classes of AML</th>
<th>Frequency of all AML (%)</th>
<th>Additional mutated genes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NPM1 mutant</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>DNMT3A (50%), FLT3 (40%), Cohesin (15%), NRAS (15%), IDH (15%), TET2 (15%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromatin-spliceosome</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>RUNX1 (40%), MLL (20%), ASXL1 (20%), DNMT3A (20%), SRSF2 (20%), FLT3 (15%),</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t(15;17)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>FLT3 (35%), WT1 (15%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPS3/chromosomal aneuploidy</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t(8;21)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>KIT (25%), NRAS (20%), Cohesin (20%), ASXL1 (20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inv(16)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>NRAS (40%), KIT (35%), FLT3 (20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t(v;11q23)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>KRAS (20%), NRAS (20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>biCEBPA</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>GATA2 (30%), NRAS (30%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t(9;22)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t(6;9)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>FLT3 (70%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t(5;11)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>FLT3 (80%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inv(3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other translocations</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDH2 R172</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*AML genomic classification presents classes of AML based on genomic and cytogenetic abnormalities; Cohesin = RAD21; SMC1A; SMC3 wild type = not mutated, normal.
domain involved in cell cycle regulation (27, 28), proliferation, and differentiation of the myeloid lineage (28). Nonsense and frameshift mutations are frequent in the N-terminal region, while in-frame indels are typical for the C-terminal region of the protein (27). In general, 5-15% of AML patients have single- or double-mutated CEBPA. Double-mutated CEBPA (CEBPAdm) is related to the characteristic gene expression profile that defines a specific subgroup of AML patients with a more favorable prognosis (3, 29). Coexisting mutations in genes ASXL1, RUNX1, NPM1, and FLT3-ITD, are more frequently present in CEBPAsm (single-mutated) cases (30).

NPM1 (Nucleophosmin 1) is a gene that encodes multifunctional NPM1 protein, primarily localized in the nucleolus. It functions as a molecular chaperone that transports ribosomal proteins
through the nuclear membrane (31). Mutations in NPM1 have been linked to tumorigenesis, as NPM1 was found to be frequently overexpressed in diverse solid tumors and involved in different translocations and deletions (32). It was shown that NPM1 is mutated in 25% of AML patients, making it one of the most frequently mutated genes in this type of cancer (33). Four-base insertions in exon 12 of NPM1 are seen in 75-80% of cases (34). All mutations in exon 12 are frameshift mutations, causing changes in the C-terminal region, resulting in the loss of two tryptophan residues and creating a new nuclear export sequence (NES) domain. Consequently, mutant NPM1 (called NPM1c) protein is exported to the cytoplasm instead of the nucleus.

KIT, a proto-oncogene type III tyrosine kinase, is a receptor for stem cell factors expressed on the surface of the leukemic blast (35). Mutations in this gene are seen either in exon 8 or in codon 816, the latter resulting in a formation of the activation loop. 20-30% of AML patients with t(8;21) and inv(16)/t(16;16) carry mutations in KIT (36). They cause the activation of MAPK and PI3K/AKT pathway and STAT3/STAT5 signaling that aid in cancer development (37).

RAS protein family is a diverse group of proteins that activate various receptors, which further trigger downstream effector pathways. These control cell proliferation, differentiation, and survival (38). Guanine nucleotide-binding proteins, which include NRAS, KRAS, and HRAS, are involved in hematopoiesis. RAS activation may result from either a mutation in RAS itself or FLT3 or KIT; NRAS and KRAS mutations are seen in about 15% to 25% of AML patients. RAS mutations activate PI3K/Akt/mTOR and the RAF/MEK/ERK pro-proliferative signaling pathways (38).

DNMT3A (DNA methyltransferase 3A) catalyzes methylation of 5-methylcytosines and is important for differentiation of the embryo and hematopoietic stem cells. There are three active DNA methyltransferases in mammals – DNMT1, DNMT3A, and DNMT3B. They are primarily involved in DNA methylation but also in genetic imprinting, differentiation, X-chromosome inactivation, proliferation, and apoptosis (39). Mutations in DNMT3A occur in more than 30% of AML patients with normal karyotype (40). Loss-of-function mutations in DNMT3A halt the hematopoietic cell differentiation and are among the first events in leukemogenesis. Mutated DNMT3A preleukemic cells represent storage for further progression of the disease if additional mutations occur. The most common mutation seen in DNMT3A is at the R882 arginine residue, disrupting the methyltransferase activity. DNMT3A mutations in combination with FLT3 or NPM1 mutations are linked to adverse prognosis (41).

TET2 (a member of the TET family of dioxygenase proteins) mutations occur in 10-25% of AML (41). TET2 converts 5-methylcytosine to 5-hydroxymethylcytosine, encouraging DNA demethylation. Mutations in TET2 do not promote AML by themselves but instead disrupt the function of the TET2 enzyme, which leads to the clonal expansion of pre-leukemic stem cells. These cells may override normal hematopoiesis with time and favor the accumulation of mutations leading to AML development (42).

IDH1 and IDH2 are NADP-dependent enzymes involved in the KREBS cycle, as IDH1 (isocitrate dehydrogenase 1) and IDH2 (isocitrate dehydrogenase 2) catalyze the oxidative decarboxylation of isocitrate to alpha-ketoglutarate. IDH1 and IDH2 mutations occur in 20% of AML patients and are heterozygous (43). The most common mutations are IDH1 R132, IDH2 R140, and IDH2 R172, which have different prognostic value. IDH mutations lead to the formation of a neomorphic enzyme and abnormal accumulation of an oncometabolite, 2-hydroxyglutarate (44, 45). Accumulated 2-hydroxyglutarate initiates potentially oncogenic events: it inhibits jumonji-C domain containing proteins involved in histone demethylation, TET2 protein, prolyl/lysyl hydroxylases, and cytochrome C in the electron-transport chain (41). IDH inhibitors such as enasidenib and ivosidenib were approved in 2018 to treat relapsed/refractory AML.

Regarding cytogenetic markers, recurrent cytogenetic aberrations are found in about 50% of
all AML patients, where the most common ones are t(15;17), t(8;21), inv(16), t(9;11), inv(3), and t(6;9) (Figure 2). These balanced translocations and inversions are classified as “AML with recurrent genetic abnormalities” in the WHO classification (3). It is worth noting that besides mentioned cytogenetic aberrations, additional abnormalities including complex karyotype (3 or more chromosomal abnormalities), such as −5 or del(5q), −7 or del(7q), i(17q) or t(17p), −13 or del(13q), del(11q), t(2;11)(p21;q23.3), t(3;21)(q26.2;q22.1), t(1;3)(p36.3;q21.2), t(5;12)(q32;p13.2), t(11;16)(q23.3;p13.3), t(5;7)(q32;q11.2), t(5;17)(q32;p13.2), t(5;10)(q32;q21.2), and t(3;5)(q25.3;q35.1). These are sufficient to establish the diagnosis of “AML with myelodysplasia-related changes” if the bone marrow has ≥20% blasts (27). Around 50% of AML patients have normal karyotype without recurrent genetic abnormalities. The most commonly found abnormalities can be found on the Atlas of Genetics Oncology website. Here we describe two examples: PML-RARA and MLL translocations. PML-RARA translocation, t(15;17)(q22;q12), involves PML (promyelocytic leukemia protein) and RARA (retinoic acid receptor alpha) genes, whereby PML N-terminus is fused with the C-terminal RARA receptor region (Figure 3). PML-RARA fusion protein acts as an irregular retinoic acid receptor with modified DNA-binding and transcription-regulation properties. Different protein isoforms are possible, each resulting in a different clinical description of the disease. At the molecular level, this translocation causes inhibition of myeloid differentiation due to inactive RARA protein and blockage in for-
mation of nuclear bodies, necessary for the proper functioning of the p53-related pathway. Molecular and cytogenetic diagnostics are essential for the fast determination of the presence of PML-RARA translocation in a blood or bone marrow sample because of the need for urgent clinical action. Fast FISH assay or RT-PCR are used to detect this translocation within 2-4 hours.

MLL, coding for a methyltransferase, can harbor gene rearrangement that has been among the first to be associated with unfavorable patient prognosis (46). Translocations and partial tandem duplications in MLL are seen in 8-10% of AML. MLL translocates with over 50 different genes, causing the expression of chimeric proteins (47). However, MLL rearrangements show a very low number of potentially cooperating mutations thus indicating that MLL-rearranged leukemias are mostly driven through epigenetic dysregulation (48). Duplication regions are found between exon 5 and 11 and between exon 5 and 12 and are strongly associated with trisomy 11 but have also been noted for karyotypically normal AML (49). MLL rearrangements in AML usually result in the overexpression of BCL2 protein, leading to drug resistance (46).

NCCN and ELN risk stratification of AML is based on the presence of molecular and cytogenetic mutations (Table 1) (27, 50). Each AML is categorized as favorable, intermediate, and adverse. The stratification is crucial for treatment strategy and is an integral part of patient evaluation. Even if a genetic mutation may not be included as a prognostic marker, its presence may provide a target for new therapies as with IDH1, IDH2, and KMT2A.

**Genetic Markers of CML**

CML’s main cause is the reciprocal translocation between chromosomes 9 and 22 in bone marrow stem cells, leading to clonal proliferation of mature granulocytes and their precursors. Translocation t(9;22)(q34;q11) with characteristic Philadelphia chromosome (shortened as 22q-) is found in 95% of all CML cases (Figure 4). Depending on exact breakage and rejoining sites, several different BCR-ABL1 proteins might result from this translocation. Protein size can be 210 (p210) or 190 (p190) kDa, depending on the number of amino acids in the BCR primary sequence. p190 is mostly found in acute lymphocytic leukemia (ALL) cases, while p210 is found in both ALL and CML.

The presence of SETBP1 and ETNK1 mutations and the absence of t(9;22) translocation and mutations in genes associated with MPN characterize atypical CML (aCML), also called BCR-ABL1-CML. Mutations in CSF3R are infrequent, present in less than 10% of aCML cases. Patients with advanced CML exhibit numerous genetic abnormalities, including duplicated Philadelphia chromosome, isochromosome 17(p) resulting in TP53 disturbance, and rarely deletion of p15 and p16 tumor-suppressor genes and RUNX1-EVI1 fusion. In addition, gene expression is likely to be deregulated in advanced diseases. This includes both increase in expression (nuclear genes, mitochondrial genes, RNA-binding genes, genes involved in protein synthesis), as well as a decrease (structural integrity and adhesion genes, inflammation, immune response modulators). Finally, several proto-oncogenes and tumor-suppressor genes can be differentially expressed, including N-RAS, H-RAS, FLT3, WT1, BCL-2, and PTPN11.
Genetic Markers of MPNs

Over 95% of patients suffering from Philadelphia-negative MPNs harbor either JAK2, CALR, or MPL driver gene mutations. The fourth phenotype driver gene is CSF3R, and mutation in any of these genes is mutually exclusive with the other three. A common characteristic of MPNs is increased JAK-STAT signaling. Besides, TET2, DNMT3A, ASXL1, IDH1/2, EZH2, SF3B1, SRSF2, TP53, NRAS, and KRAS mutations were also reported, mainly in so-called triple-negative patients. These mutations can also appear before or after phenotypic driver mutations, in which case they modify or amplify the effect of those drivers (5, 51). Other DNA sequence variants or mutations found in a fraction of MPN patients are TP53, CUX1, IKZF1, NF-E2 (transcriptional regulation), ASXL1, IDH1, IDH2, TET2, EZH2, DNMT3A (epigenetic regulation), SRSF2, U2AF1, and SF3B1 (RNA splicing). It has been reported that TP53, IDH2, and SRSF2 mutations are over-represented in blast-phase MPN (52). Similarly, ASXL1, EZH2, and SRSF2 mutations in PMF patients are associated with leukemic transformation and poor prognosis.

The three most commonly mutated genes in MPN (JAK2, MPL and CALR) are a part of the MPN diagnostic algorithm. JAK2 (Janus kinase 2) V617F mutation in exon 14 is most abundantly found in the Philadelphia-negative MPNs, namely PV (in around 95% of cases), ET (around 55% of cases), and PMF (around 65% of the cases). This mutation leads to the growth-factor independence in affected cells due to constitutive tyrosine phosphorylation and consequential JAK2 protein activation (5, 6, 51-56). MPL (MPL proto-oncogene) gene encodes thrombopoietin receptor, and its mutations are found in around 4% of ET and 10% of PMF patients, but not in PV patients. Mutations are mostly found in residue W515 in exon 10, including W515L, which results in constitutive activation of downstream signaling pathway even in the absence of thrombopoietin. Other MPL mutations in MPNs are W515S, W515A, S505N, A506T, and A519T. Calreticulin (CALR) is a multifunctional chaperone protein involved in various cellular processes, including cell adhesion (57-60). Recent studies have found CALR mutations in exon 9 in MPN patients who lack the JAK2 or MPL mutations. CALR mutations were reported in ET and PMF patients at a frequency between 20% and 30% and accounted for approximately 70% of JAK2/MPL-nonmutated ET and around 85% of JAK2/MPL-nonmutated PMF. They were not reported in PV patients (57-60). Over 36 different frameshift insertions or deletions were reported, all of which result in novel C-terminal amino acid sequence in the mutated calreticulin, which leads to malfunctioning in calcium-binding and cell growth. The most prominent two mutations are the type 1 and type 2 mutations, which account for over 80% of the CALR exon 9 mutations. The type 1 mutation (L367fs*46) is a 52-base pair deletion, while type 2 mutation (K385fs*47) is a 5-base pair TTGTC
insertion, both of which lead to a frameshift. Both mutations induce a change in the subcellular localization signal by leading to a loss of negatively charged amino acids in the protein C-domain (57-60).

**Genetic Markers of MDS**

MDS is associated with two types of mutations: chromosomal aberrations and somatic gene mutations. Common chromosomal aberrations that are associated with MDS are -5/5q-, -7/7q-, +8, 20q-, +21, 12p-, 13q- and 17p- (Figure 5, Table 2). Their prognostic values are shown in Table 3. They are detected in 40-60% of primary MDS patients and more than 80% of therapy-associated MDSs (61) (Table 1). These aberrations are best detected with karyotyping or microarrays. The affected chromosomal regions often involve tumor-suppressor genes. While these changes are encountered at diagnosis, additional or evolved clones can be found during the clinical progression of the disease and are associated with a poor prognosis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MDS cytogenetics Abnormality</th>
<th>MDS (%)</th>
<th>t-MDS (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unbalanced</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-7 or del(7q)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5 or del(5q)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>del(20q)*</td>
<td>5-8</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Y*</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t(17q) or t(17p)</td>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+13 or del(13q)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>del(11q)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>del(12p) or t(12p)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balanced</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t(11;16)(q23;p13.3)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t(3;21)(q26.2;q22.1)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t(1;3)(p36.3;q21.2)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t(2;11)(p21;q23)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inv(3)(q21q26.2)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t(6;9)(q23;q34)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table adapted from reference (61); MDS=Myelodysplastic syndrome; t-MDS=Therapy-related MDS.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Frequency in MDS (%)</th>
<th>Prognosis</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RNA splicing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF3B1</td>
<td>20-30</td>
<td>Favorable</td>
<td>MDS-rS and MDS-MLD, associated with ring sideroblasts, fewer mutations in other genes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRSF2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Unfavorable</td>
<td>CMML phenotype</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U2AF1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Unfavorable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZRSR2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>On X-chromosome, more common in males</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epigenetic regulators</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TET2</td>
<td>20-30</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Normal karyotype, more frequent in CMML</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNMT3A</td>
<td>10-15</td>
<td>Unfavorable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDH1 and IDH2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASXL1</td>
<td>15-20</td>
<td>Unfavorable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EZH2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Unfavorable</td>
<td>More common in CMML</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transcription and signaling pathways</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP53</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Unfavorable</td>
<td>Associated with complex karyotype and high risk disease, few mutations in other genes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUNX1</td>
<td>5-10</td>
<td>Unfavorable</td>
<td>Thrombocytopenia, excess blasts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRAS/KRAS</td>
<td>5-10</td>
<td>Unfavorable</td>
<td>Thrombocytopenia, excess blasts, more common in CMML</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAK2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>50% of RARS-T, often subclonal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table adapted from reference (68).
Regarding somatic mutations, almost half of MDS patients have two or more genes simultaneously mutated (Figure 6). The most efficient method for the simultaneous detection of mutations in several genes is a next-generation sequencing (NGS). Affected genes are involved in RNA splicing, transcription, signaling pathways, and epigenetic regulation. Their prognostic values are presented in Table 2. Mutations in SF3B1 (splicing factor 3b subunit 1) are found in one-third of MDS patients. Other spliceosome-associated genes mutated in MDS include SRSF2, U2AF1, and PRPF8. Among the most significant epigenetic factors that mutate in MDS patients are TET2 and DNMT3A. TET2 mutations are found in up to 30% of all MDS patients and up to 60% of CMML patients. The most common DNMT3A mutation is R882, and patients with this mutation have an increased chance of AML progression. ASXL1 encodes a polycomb protein involved in histone methylation. Mutations in this gene are found in 15-20% of MDS patients, usually frameshift or nonsense mutations in exon 12.

Mutations associated with the progression of MDS to secondary AML include SRSF2, SF3B1, U2AF1, ZRSR2, ASXL1, EZH2, BCOR, and STAG2. These mutations occur in MDS and continue through sAML. Mutations in IDH1 and IDH2 are found at frequencies around 5%, with a high occurrence in high-risk MDS (around 23%). Most mutations are missense, including R132 in IDH1 and R172K in IDH2 (Table 2) (43, 62-68). The detection of the mutations mentioned above leads to clonal expansion. However, it is worth noting that those mutations can also be detected, particularly TET2, ASXL1, DNMT3A, and TP53, in healthy aging populations, a phenomenon called clonal hematopoiesis of indeterminate potential (CHIP) (53-55). CHIP is defined as the presence of at least 2% of a somatic mutation in peripheral blood and the absence of malignant hematological disease. Currently, somatic mutations are not a part of the clinical diagnosis of MDS. CHIP likely transitions to MDS through a complex interaction of several factors within HSC and bone marrow microenvironment.
Molecular and Genetic Techniques

Cytogenetics

Cytogenetic analysis of peripheral blood and bone marrow aspirates is the golden diagnostic standard for myeloid malignancies. AML, MDS, MPN, CML, and other myeloid malignancies rely on cytogenetic analysis for both diagnosis and prognosis. Conventional cytogenetic analysis is mandatory in the diagnostic evaluation of AML. Standard cytogenetic analysis refers to karyotyping, where 20 metaphases are screened for chromosomal abnormalities such as translocations, deletions, duplications, inversions, trisomies, monosomies, and other abnormalities. The karyotype is considered complex if it consists of three or more abnormalities. Reporting abnormalities is standardized through ISCN (International System for Human Cytogenetic Nomenclature), which contains guidelines on cytogenetic formulations. Clonality, or the presence of one or more clones with unique abnormalities, can easily be deduced from cytogenetic formulas (56). If cytogenetic analysis cannot be obtained, fluorescence in situ hybridization is used to detect specific translocations or deletions, such as t(8;21), inv(16), t(15;17), del 5q, del7q, and del17p.

Cytogenetic abnormalities have diagnostic and prognostic values. The presence of t(9;22) in a myeloid patient is diagnostic of chronic myeloid leukemia when taken in the context of clinical parameters (one should also be aware of the AML with t(9;22)). Similarly, other translocations such as t(15;17), t(11;?), and t(6;9) are essential to detect in AML patients because of therapy management and prognosis. All these abnormalities are part of the WHO classification of tumors of hematopoie-
etic and lymphoid tissues. Furthermore, deletion of the long arm of chromosome 5, or del5q, is a unique subset of MDS, referred to as del5q syndrome (Figure 7). Classical prognostic markers for MDS are deletion del7q, monosomy of 7, or trisomy 8, which carry a worse prognosis. Complex karyotypes are generally considered unfavorable prognostic markers (56).

The urgent karyotype is typically requested for AML or other hematological malignancies such as ALL or Burkitt lymphoma and can be signed out within 3-7 days. Once the bone marrow aspirate or peripheral blood are received, mononuclear cells are counted and the appropriate number placed in liquid media for 24-72 h. Once the cells have divided and proliferated, they are harvested, cytoplasm removed, fixed, dropped onto slides, and stained with trypsin and Giemsa (GTG banding). The analysis is conducted on 20 metaphases using a light microscope.

After BCR-ABL1 is confirmed by qualitative or quantitative PCR in CML patients, karyotyping is the next step to demonstrate additional chromosomal abnormalities besides the Ph chromosome; if the Ph chromosome is not found, FISH analysis is necessary to detect variant translocations (69-70). Karyotyping is also an optimal method for analyzing additional chromosomal abnormalities (ACA), previously divided as major and minor route ACA (69). The current classification includes low-risk and high-risk ACA (additional Ph, additional 19 chromosome, trisomy 8, isochromosome 17q, deletion -7/7q) (69). The presence of high-risk ACA is related to a worse prognosis in the CML patients, who are accordingly classified as high-risk patients, and they usually show resistance to the TKI therapy (70-73).

Figure 7. Deletions of the long arm of chromosome 5 are defined as del5q syndrome, a subtype of MDS with a more indolent disease course. Deletions can be larger or smaller, where the common deleted region is in 5q32. Created in Biorender.

Polymerase Chain Reaction - PCR

Endpoint polymerase chain reaction (PCR) used to amplify DNA segments of interest is applied in all branches of molecular studies, including molecular diagnostics of myeloid malignancies. The method has shown better sensitivity than the direct sequencing of PCR-amplified DNA (detecting mutations with variant allele fractions between 1 and 3%). The major drawback of this method is that many of the protocols are designed in a manner that only the mutant sequence will be amplified if present (such as the protocol designed for the JAK2V617F mutation), which may potentially lead to false-negative results, but also prevents differentiation between heterozygous and wild-type mutated samples (74-79). Jeong et al. (2016) developed an AS-PCR-based system for CALR type 1 (L367fs*46) and type 2 (K385fs*47) mutation screening (80).

Amplification refractory mutations system (ARMS)-PCR is often used to detect single base variations or minor deletions. This screening method allows for the amplification of mutant and wild-type alleles in a single reaction with the addition of a second pair of primers. The primary deployment of ARMS-PCR is the detection of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), which makes it ideal for JAK2 variant screening. Today, the method has been optimized to detect the mutation with as little as a 0.05% variant allele fraction. A
drawback of the method is the lack of quantification of wild type-mutant allele ratios (74, 81, 82). The JAK2V617F ARMS-PCR primers designed by Jones et al. (2005) involves two outer control primers (FO and RO) which are complementary to the region flanking exon 14 of the JAK2 gene, while the inner primers are complementary to the region flanking the G (in case of a wild-type sequence) or the T (in case of a mutation) (83).

Allele-specific oligonucleotide-polymerase chain reaction (ASO-PCR), also recommended to detect specific frequent mutations in the BCR-ABL1 kinase domain, is a more sensitive method compared to Sanger sequencing (84). The mechanism for TKI resistance and a main cause of suboptimal response in CML patients is the presence of mutations in the BCR-ABL1 kinase domain (85, 86). However, the detection of mutations is more efficient and sensitive using next-generation sequencing (NGS) (87-90).

**Real-Time Quantitative PCR**

Real-time PCR enables simultaneous amplification, quantification, and analysis of the variants of interest via fluorescent molecules’ detection by fluorescent excitation based on the change in fluorescence during amplification. The combination of real-time PCR and DNA-melting curve analysis is a time- and cost-effective system. Its high sensitivity and specificity make it the best alternative to direct sequencing. Besides, due to its quantification property, this method allows for detecting wild type-mutant allele ratios (76).

Type of BCR-ABL1 transcript (e13a3/b2a2, e14a3/b3a2, or atypical) in the peripheral blood should be identified by qualitative RT-PCR (nested PCR) at diagnosis and optionally during the follow-up of the patient to assess the response to therapy (91). A quantitative PCR (qPCR) is used to evaluate BCR-ABL1 transcript level. It is not required at the diagnosis; however, it is mandatory to perform qPCR every three months during follow-up to track patients’ molecular response to TKI (11).

The molecular response is defined as the ratio of BCR-ABL1 transcripts and ABL transcripts/GUSB transcripts, according to the International Scale (IS), and it should be reported as a percentage on the log scale. Values 1% (2 log), 0.1% (3 log), 0.01% (4 log), 0.0032% (4.5 log), and 0.001% (5 log) are below the baseline defined in the IRIS study (92-95). Complete cytogenetic response (CCyR) is defined as ≤1% BCR-ABL1 transcript level. Major molecular response (MMR, MR³) is equivalent to ≤0.1% BCR-ABL1 transcript level; deep molecular response is determined by MR³ (≤0.01%) and MR⁵ (≤0.001%) (92, 93). It is recommended to use the term “molecularly undetectable leukemia” with the reported number of ABL1/GUSB transcripts instead of “complete molecular response” (94).

Monitoring of BCR-ABL1 transcript level (time point at 3, 6, and 12 months of follow-up) is important in determining patient’s response to TKI therapy (optimal, warning, or failure). Achievement of MMR is crucial to assess eligibility for TKI continuation. At 12 months, optimal response is defined as ≤0.1 % BCR-ABL1 transcript (TKI treatment should be continued), warning as ≤0.1-1% BCR-ABL1 transcript level (considering the treatment change), and failure as ≤1 % BCR-ABL1 transcript (TKI should be changed) (92-97).

**Sanger Sequencing**

Sanger sequencing is a molecular method for determining nucleotide sequence in a DNA molecule based on in vitro DNA replication, most widely performed to detect the single-nucleotide variants (SNVs) and small indels (98). Sanger (conventional) sequencing has been the golden standard for the detection of SNVs because of the high-level of accuracy (99.99%), longer read length (1000 bp), and cost-effectiveness for a smaller number of samples compared to the next-generation sequencing (NGS) (99). Thus, Sanger sequencing helps discriminate true genetic alterations from errors caused by NGS (99-101). However, below a threshold of 20%, the mosaic allele’s detection is not possible.

In Ph− MPN patients negative for JAK2 and CALR mutations, Sanger sequencing can be used for detection and discrimination of
W515L (type 1, 1544G>T) and W515K (type 2, 1543_1544TG>AA) mutations in MPL gene (exon 10) (102). This method is performed for the sequencing of the $BCR-ABL_1$ gene and detection of the most common $BCR-ABL_1$ kinase domain mutations related to the TKI resistance (like T315I, E255K, E255V, Y253H, Y253F) in Ph$^+$ CML and ALL patients, and it is less sensitive compared to the ASO-PCR (103). In the MDS and AML subclones, the vast majority of alterations in the DNA sequence are precisely detected at the lower allele fractions, which cannot be reported using Sanger sequencing due to its limitations regarding sensitivity (100, 101).

**Next-Generation Sequencing (NGS)**

Next-generation sequencing (NGS) allows identifying mutations with variant allele fractions of a minimum of 1% or lower variant allele fractions, leading to discoveries of rare mutations. The detection of rare mutations provides a better understanding of the disease complexity and prognostic relevance. Discoveries of rare variants have also led to the classification of novel subsets of hematologic diseases, enabling new targeted therapies. Furthermore, NGS is used to quantify variant allele fraction, which is of great importance as it was reported that mutational burden is connected to prognosis in clonal hematopoiesis (104-107).

NGS is a fast, massively parallel/deep sequencing technology, with higher error rates (~0.1–15%) and generally shorter read lengths than Sanger sequencing. Two main NGS applications are short-read and long-read sequencing. While short-read sequencing is cheaper, more accurate, and generally used for clinical variant discovery, the long-read approach is more suitable for full-length sequencing isoforms and de novo genome assembly applications.

There are two main types of short-read NGS technologies: sequencing by ligation (SBL) and sequencing by synthesis (SBS). SBL approaches use a fluorophore-bound probe sequence that hybridizes to a DNA fragment and ligates to an adjacent oligonucleotide for imaging purposes. The base(s) identity complementary to positions within the probes are identified by the emission spectrum of the fluorophore. SBS approach is polymerase-dependent, and nucleotide incorporation into an elongating strand is detected by special signals (fluorophores or a change in ionic concentration). In most short-read sequencing cases, DNA is clonally amplified on a solid surface, and the signal is distinguished from the background noise by placing identical copies of DNA fragments into a well-defined area. To ensure massive parallelization of the process, millions of individual SBL or SBS reaction centers are formed, each with its clonal DNA template. A sequencing platform collects information from these reaction centers simultaneously, providing parallel sequencing of many millions of DNA molecules. SBS can be further classified into cyclic reversible termination (CRT) and single-nucleotide addition (SNA). CRT method is characterized by the use of terminator molecules, similar to Sanger sequencing. In contrast, the SNA method uses a single signal to detect the dNTP addition to an elongating strand. Long repetitive elements, copy number alterations, and structural variations important for disease onset, development, or prognosis are not captured by short-read paired-end technologies. Long-read technology can provide continuous sequences from 10 kilobases to several megabases directly from native DNA. Long reads help transcriptomic research, as they can capture the entire mRNA transcripts, allowing the identification of precise exon junctions and gene isoforms. There are two main types of long-read technologies: single-molecule real-time sequencing (SMRT) and synthetic approach. The SMRT method does not require chemical cycling for added dNTPs. It does not rely on a clonal population of amplified DNA fragments to generate a detectable signal, in contrast to short-read approaches (108). Synthetic long-read technology relies on existing reads sequenced by short-read technology to construct longer reads in silico using barcodes’ power.

Some of the NGS applications are de novo sequencing (sequencing and construction of new genome or transcriptome), resequencing, target-
ed resequencing, metagenomics and meta-tran-
scriptomics, DNA- and RNA-protein interactions
(ChIP-seq), methylation sequencing, and tran-
scriptome or RNA sequencing (mRNA, miRNA,
snRNA). Among many different NGS myeloid
panels available, two Illumina sequencing pan-
els (TruSight and AmpliSeq) target the most rel-
levant genes in AML, MPN, MDS, CMML, CML,
and JMML (juvenile myelomonocytic leukemia).
TruSight Myeloid sequencing panel provides an
assessment of 15 full and 39 partial genes (tumor
suppressor genes and oncogenic spots). AmpliSeq
Myeloid sequencing panel enables analysis of both
dNA and RNA in a single assay, with 40 key DNA
target genes and 29 RNA fusion driver genes. It
allows the detection of SNVs, indels, and gene fu-
sions.

The challenges of NGS in the diagnostics of
myeloid malignancies are reviewed by Bacher et
al. (90). They reviewed bioinformatics tools to
discriminate leukemia-initiating mutations from
incidental passenger germline mutations vs. so-
matic mutations and somatic vs. CHIP mutations.
A recent study by Duncavage et al. (2021) tested
and streamlined the whole-genome sequencing
(WGS) approach (ChromoSeq) for diagnosis and
prognosis of AML and MDS patients (109). Chro-
moSeq provides comprehensive genomic profiling
of clinically relevant AML/MDS mutations. Ge-
nomic profiling of 263 patients (including 235 that
had previously undergone cytogenetic analysis) by
WGS detected all 91 copy-number alterations and
40 recurrent translocations previously identified
by cytogenetics. Furthermore, it provided new ge-
etic information for 40 out of 235 patients (17%)
that had not been detected by conventional cytoge-
etic analysis. New copy-number alterations were
identified in 14 patients with conclusive and in 13
patients either inconclusive or ambiguous cytoge-
etic results. Additionally, new structural variants
were found in 13 patients. By using prospective
sequencing of samples from 117 consecutive pa-
tients, WGS provided new genetic information for
29 patients (24.8%), and 19 of them (16.2%) were
reclassified into risk groups. WGS also allowed
stratification of Patients with inconclusive cytoge-
netic analysis results into risk groups. In conclu-
sion, ChromoSeq provided a greater diagnostic
yield and more efficient risk stratification based on
standard risk categories, and could potentially be
a good replacement for conventional cytogenetic
analysis (109).

**Molecular Diagnostics of Myeloid
Malignancies in Bosnia and Herzegovina**

Hematological malignancies have increased sig-
ificantly in the last 20 years in Bosnia and Herze-
govina compared to European data (110, 111).
The possible causes of the increase in the number
of patients have not yet been clarified. Cytoge-
etic tools, i.e., karyotyping, have been established
in 2005 at the University Clinical Center Tuzla
(UKCT), in 2007 at the Clinical Center of the Uni-
versity of Sarajevo (KCUS), and later in Univer-
sity Clinical Center of Republic of Srpska in Banja
Luka (UKCBL).

The largest cytogenetic laboratory is in KCUS,
Sarajevo, which receives patient samples from all
cantons of the Federation of Bosnia and Herze-
govina except Tuzla. FISH (hematological and
tissue) is only performed in KCUS, with a wide
variety of panels for myeloid malignancies, in-
cluding MDS panel (del5, del/mono 7, trisomy
8), AML (t(15;17), t(8;21), inv(16), MLL, etc.). A
molecular test for CML, quantitative
\textit{BCR-ABL1}, is performed in Sarajevo and Tuzla, where Sarajevo
conducts about 70 samples every three months for
current CML patients. Other CML tests include
karyotype, FISH, qualitative nested PCR, and the
detection of the most common \textit{BCR-ABL1} kinase
domain mutations using ASO-PCR. For MPN,
\textit{JAK2} is detected by real-time PCR using qual-
itative and quantitative assays. \textit{CALR} mutations
type 1 and type 2 are detected by ASO-PCR. \textit{MPL}
mutations are detected by Sanger sequencing. For
AML, Invivoscribe can detect \textit{FLT3} mutations on
the capillary sequencer and \textit{NPM1} mutations on
real-time PCR. The Myeloid panel on NGS is cur-
rently in development.

In order to understand the state of diagnostics
of myeloid malignancies, we conducted a retro-
A prospective study on myeloid neoplasms from the Sarajevo Canton in the period from 1995 to 2015. We found 268 patients, including AML N=64, Ph(-) MPN N=102, Ph(+)MPN (CML) N=47, MDS N=51, MDS/MPN N=4 (unpublished data, 111). The unique issue with CML patients in Bosnia and Herzegovina was that many of them had to wait for the start of treatment with tyrosine kinase inhibitors. We have analyzed the effects of delayed treatment in detail previously, are be summarized below. In Bosnia and Herzegovina, TKI therapy has been available since 2005. First frontline TKI therapy was imatinib (Glivec, first-generation TKI); however, due to lack of insurance cover, patients received therapy on a first-come-first-served basis (112). In resource-poor countries like Bosnia and Herzegovina, TKI therapy’s availability and monitoring of the disease are limited (113-115). Until 2013, when generic formulations of imatinib were introduced, a certain number of CML patients had to wait for the TKI therapy for an extended period. These patients had worse responses to the therapy, progression or transformation of the disease, and lower survival rate (112). It was shown that generic versions of imatinib in Bosnia and Herzegovina (Anzovip, Meaxin, Plivatinib) are cost-effective, and response to the therapy was similar to Glivec (115-117). In 2011, nilotinib (Tasigna, Novartis, second-generation TKI) became available as front- or second-line therapy, and it was designed to overcome specific BCR-ABL1 mutations in imatinib-resistant patients (85, 87, 118-121). Our previous studies showed that nilotinib might be a more potent TKI therapy than imatinib for treating CML patients with a delayed start of therapy (both Glivec and generic alternatives) (112, 116). In addition, several international studies showed the superiority of 2GTKI over 1GTKI in terms of efficacy (72, 120-122). Besides standard TKI therapies, novel therapies for CML have emerged, specifically for T315I-mutated CML. Asciminib is a Specifically Targeting the ABL Myristoyl Pocket (STAMP) inhibitor capable of blocking BCR-ABL1 activity via allosteric binding to the myristoyl residue distinct to the BCR-ABL1 kinase domain (KD) (123). Asciminib blocks both, wild-type and mutated BCR-ABL1 fusion protein, and has potential to overcome resistance to the frontline 1GTKI or 2GTKI (124).

Conclusions

Myeloid malignancies are a heterogeneous group of blood disorders in which myeloid cells show aberrant proliferation, differentiation, and localization. In the last decade, several new discoveries regarding the genetic makeup of these diseases have led to the expansion of molecular genetic and genomic testing needed for diagnosis, prognosis, and therapy. Molecular diagnostics is required for determining the most suitable treatment, such as FLT3 or IDH inhibitors in AML. It is presumed that myeloid gene panels will soon be incorporated in disease guidelines and will become a routine molecular test needed for patient diagnosis and prognosis.
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